

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	
All Participants:	
(1) KENNETH TANG.	(3)
(2) David M. Longo (Reg. No. 53,235).	(4)
Date of interview: March 2011	Status of Application: Amendment after non-final Time: 4pm
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description:	
Part I.	
Rejection(s) discussed: 102(e)	
Claims discussed: 22, 26-34, and 40	
Prior art documents discussed: Lesaint et al. (US 6,578,005 B1)	
Part II.	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: It was agreed that the limitations of dependent claims 33 or 34 added into the existing independent claims in addition to amending claims 40 and 43 to comply with 35 USC 101 would place the application in condition for allowance.	
Part III.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	
/Kenneth Tang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2196	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)